Suppose we are given the problem Minimize  $z = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 5x_4$ subject to (1)Do we add slack variables or surplus variables? > Do we automatically have a basic feasible solution after adding surplus variables? We could do two phase simplex, but since the coefficients in the objective function are positive, we can use dual simplex.  $X_1$  $x_2$ X3 *x*4 X5 X<sub>6</sub> X7 0 -z0 2 3 4 5 0 0

 $x_7$  ↓ -15 -3 4 -5 6 0 0 1 After adding surplus variables and solving for the basis B = (5, 6, 7) we have the tableau above.

-1

-3

1

4

1

0

0

1

0

0

1

2

 $^{-1}$ 

-1

-10

 $^{-6}$ 

 $X_5$ 

x<sub>6</sub>

- B is not a (primal) feasible basis, because A<sup>-1</sup><sub>B</sub> b = [-10, -6, -15]<sup>T</sup> has negative entries. It is a dual feasible basis, because c<sup>T</sup> ≥ 0<sup>T</sup>, so we can use the dual simplex algorithm.
- ▶ In dual simplex, we **first** pick the pivot **row** by selecting a row with a negative entry in column 0. We arbitrarily select row *r* = 1.
- We now pick the pivot column so that  $z = -a_{0,0}$  does not decrease and the basis remains dual feasible.
- Since we do **not** want  $z = -a_{0,0}$  to decrease, we pick the pivot column *s* so that  $a_{r,s} < 0$ .
- ▶ Since we want  $\overline{\mathbf{c}}^T$  to remain non-negative we pick the  $x_1$  column, because  $\frac{a_{0,1}}{a_{r,1}} = \frac{2}{-1} > \frac{4}{-1} = \frac{a_{0,3}}{a_{r,3}}$ .
- **Rule**: Pick column s so that  $\frac{a_{0,s}}{a_{r,s}}$  is maximized subject to  $a_{r,s} < 0$ .

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>x</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> 6	X7
-z	-20	0	5	2	7	2	0	0
$x_1$	10	1	-1	1	-1	$^{-1}$	0	0
<i>x</i> 6	4	0	1	-2	3	$^{-1}$	1	0
<i>x</i> <sub>7</sub>	15	0	1	-2	3	-3	0	1

- ▶ Now every  $a_{i,0}$  for  $i \in [m]$  is nonnegative. So, the tableau is optimal.
- But suppose that the boss adds a new restriction:

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 - 4x_4 \le 8$$

▶ With the dual simplex, we do not need to start from scratch. We simply add the new row and one more column to our final tableau.

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>X</i> 3	<i>x</i> <sub>4</sub>	$X_5$	<i>x</i> 6	X7	<i>x</i> 8
-z	-20	0	5	2	7	2	0	0	0
<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	10	1	$^{-1}$	1	$^{-1}$	$^{-1}$	0	0	0
<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	4	0	1	-2	3	$^{-1}$	1	0	0
X7	15	0	1	-2	3	-3	0	1	0
<i>x</i> 8	8	1	2	3	-4	0	0	0	1

- This tableau has a new row for the new equation and also a new slack variable
- We have to make sure that this tableau is solved for the basis, so we must exclude the basic variables from the new row.

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>x</i> 3	<i>x</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>X</i> 5	<i>x</i> 6	X7	<i>x</i> 8
-z	-20	0	5	2	7	2	0	0	0
$x_1$	10	1	-1	1	-1	-1	0	0	0
<i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	4	0	1	-2	3	$^{-1}$	1	0	0
X7	15	0	1	-2	3	-3	0	1	0
<i>x</i> 8	-2	0	3	2	-3	1	0	0	1

• We select row 4 as the pivot row because  $a_{4,0} = -2 < 0$ . We then pivot on column  $x_4$  because  $a_{4,4} = -3$  is the only negative entry in row 4.

		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>x</i> 3	<i>x</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>x</i> 5	<i>x</i> 6	<i>X</i> 7	<i>x</i> 8
- <i>z</i>	-74/3	0	12	20/3	0	13/3	0	0	7/3
$x_1$	32/3	1	-2	1/3	0	-4/3	0	0	-1/3
x <sub>6</sub>	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	1
<i>X</i> 7	13	0	4	0	0	-2	0	1	1
<i>x</i> 4	2/3	0	$^{-1}$	-2/3	1	-1/3	0	0	-1/3

 This is the final tableau because the basis is now both primal and dual feasible.

 Here are the constraints of the current problem (without the non-negativity constraints).

$x_1$	$-x_{2}$	$+x_3$	$-x_4$	$\geq$	10,
$x_1$	$-2x_{2}$	$+3x_{3}$	$-4x_{4}$	$\geq$	6,
$3x_1$	$-4x_{2}$	$+5x_{3}$	$-6x_{4}$	$\geq$	15
$-x_1$	$-2x_{2}$	$-3x_{3}$	$+4x_{4}$	$\geq$	-8

- Using the final basis B = (1, 6, 7, 4) we can compute an optimum for the dual  $(\pi^*)^T = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{A}_B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{13}{3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{7}{3} \end{pmatrix}$
- ► If we change b to b', then B remains a dual feasible basis. Why? (The constraints of the dual are not changed)
- ▶ Assume that changing  $b_1$  from 10 to 12 or changing  $b_4$  from -8 to -6 will not affect primal feasibility, i.e.  $\mathbf{A}_B^{-1}\mathbf{b}' \ge \mathbf{0}$  for either change.
- Which change should we make?
- ► If  $\mathbf{x}^{**}$  is the basic solution corresponding to *B* for the modified LP, then  $\mathbf{x}^{**}$ and  $\pi^*$  are feasible for the modified LP and its dual, resp., and  $\mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x}^{**} = \mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{x}_B^{**} = \mathbf{c}_B^T (\mathbf{A}_B^{-1} \mathbf{b}') = (\mathbf{c}_B^T \mathbf{A}_B^{-1}) \mathbf{b}' = (\pi^*)^T \mathbf{b}' = \pi_1^* b_1' + \pi_2^* b_2' + \pi_3^* b_3' + \pi_4^* b_4'$
- $b_1$  from 10 to 12  $\implies$  cost increases by  $2\pi_1^* = rac{26}{3}$
- ▶  $b_4$  from -8 to -6  $\implies$  cost increase by  $2\pi_4^* = \frac{14}{3}$ .  $\checkmark$
- We can think of π<sup>\*</sup><sub>i</sub> as the shadow price or marginal price of the resource associated with constraint i.

- What if we change b to b' = (10 6 15 -5)<sup>T</sup>?
  We have that A<sup>-1</sup><sub>B</sub>b = (35/3) -1 10 5/3)<sup>T</sup>, so B = (1, 6, 7, 4) is no longer primal feasible. It is still dual feasible.
- We can use dual simplex by replacing entries a<sub>0,0</sub> to a<sub>m,0</sub> in the final tableau. Replace a<sub>0,0</sub> with -c<sup>T</sup><sub>B</sub>A<sup>-1</sup><sub>B</sub>b' = -(π\*)<sup>T</sup>b' = -<sup>74</sup>/<sub>3</sub> 3π<sup>\*</sup><sub>4</sub> = -<sup>95</sup>/<sub>3</sub> and replace (a<sub>0,1</sub> ... a<sub>0,m</sub>)<sup>T</sup> with A<sup>-1</sup><sub>B</sub>b'.
  So we start dual simplex with the following tableau

					0				
		<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>x</i> 3	<i>x</i> <sub>4</sub>	<i>x</i> 5	<i>x</i> 6	X7	<i>x</i> <sub>8</sub>
-z	-95/3	0	12	20/3	0	13/3	0	0	7/3
$x_1$	35/3	1	-2	1/3	0	-4/3	0	0	-1/3
x <sub>6</sub>	-1	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	1
<i>X</i> 7	10	0	4	0	0	-2	0	1	1
$x_4$	5/3	0	$^{-1}$	-2/3	1	-1/3	0	0	-1/3

▶ This LP is infeasible, because row 2 corresponds to the equation  $-1 = 4x_2 + x_6 + x_8$  which has not solution with  $x_2, x_6, x_8 \ge 0$ .

 $\blacktriangleright$  What does this imply about the dual? It is unbounded because  $\pi^*$  is a feasible solution for the dual and the primal is infeasible.