

Matrix games

Any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ defines a game, and we call \mathbf{A} the *payout matrix*. The row player is *Alice* and each row is called a *pure strategy* for Alice. The column player is *Bob* and each column is a *pure strategy* for Bob. If Alice plays pure strategy i and Bob plays pure strategy j , Alice receives $a_{i,j}$ dollars from Bob. If $a_{i,j} < 0$, then this means that Alice pays Bob $-a_{i,j}$ dollars.

A vector \mathbf{y} is *stochastic* if $\mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m y_i = 1$. Throughout, assume \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} are stochastic vectors in \mathbb{R}^n and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively.

Let $\mathbf{A} = \{a_{ij}\}$ be an $m \times n$ payoff matrix. Any \mathbf{y} defines the *mixed strategy* for the row player, Alice, where she chooses each pure strategy i with probability y_i , and any \mathbf{x} defines a *mixed strategy* for the column player, Bob, where he chooses each pure strategy j with probability x_j . If Alice plays mixed strategy \mathbf{y} and Bob plays mixed strategy \mathbf{x} , then the *expected payout* is

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n y_i a_{ij} x_j = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}.$$

We say that stochastic $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ or stochastic $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is *optimal* if it maximizes $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ or minimizes $\max_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$, respectively. It is not hard to see that for any stochastic $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and stochastic $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(1) \quad \min_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \leq \max_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Theorem (The Minimax Theorem). *For every $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ there exists stochastic $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and stochastic $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \tilde{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \max_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Note that, using (1), it can be shown that the mixed strategies $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ from the theorem are optimal. With $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ from the theorem, the number $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}^T \mathbf{A} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ is called the *value* of the game. We first prove the following proposition (\mathbf{e}_i is the i th standard basis vector).

Proposition. *For any $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and stochastic $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$,*

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \min_j \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_j = \min_j \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{i,j}.$$

For any stochastic $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\max_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \max_i \mathbf{e}_i^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j} x_j.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\min_j \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_j = \min_j \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{i,j}$ and $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \leq \min_j \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{e}_j$ since every standard basis vector is stochastic. So we now only need to show $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq \min_j \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{i,j}$ to prove the first sentence. Let $t = \min_j \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{i,j}$. For any stochastic $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{i,j} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{i,j} \right) \geq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right) \cdot t = t.$$

This implies that $\min_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \geq t$, which proves the first sentence. The proof of the second sentence is similar. \square

Proof of the Minimax Theorem. We want to find an optimal \mathbf{x} which, by definition, is a stochastic vector \mathbf{x} that minimizes $\max_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$. By the proposition, this is equivalent finding a vector \mathbf{x} that minimizes $\max_i \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j} x_j$ subject to $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$ and $\mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}$. By adding a variable w , we can write an LP whose solutions gives us the optimal \mathbf{x} as follows,

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & w \\ \text{subject to} & x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \\ & w \geq \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j} x_j \quad \text{for all } i \in [n] \\ & \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

Similarly, we can write an LP whose solutions gives us an optimal \mathbf{y} as follows

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ll} \text{Maximize} & u \\ \text{subject to} & y_1 + \cdots + y_m = 1 \\ & u \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_{i,j} y_i \quad \text{for all } j \in [n] \\ & \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

It is a good exercise to show that (2) and (3) are dual linear programs, and this observation gives us the theorem. \square